## TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor:		Mark Harrison Date: 18/06/10 Species: Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)					
Location:		The Old Turnstile	Barford St	Michael (T5)			
TPO Ref (if		oplicable): Tree/Group No: Owner (if		Owner (if known)	rnown):		
Part	t 1: Ame	nity assessment					
			O (Relates	s to existing con	text and is inte	ended to apply to sev	ere irremediable defects only)
X	3) Fai 1) Poo 0) Dea	od Highly suitable r Suitable or Unlikely to be su ad Unsuitable ng/dangerous* Uns					Notes The tree has several defects which would otherwise reduce its suitability for a TPO however, the low likely hood of targets in the vicinity of the tree and the addition of the proposed crown thin will further reduce the risk of failure.  Sub Total 3
ام الم	otontion	onon (in vooro) 9	ovitability fe	TDO (Included	s trace which	ara an aviating or noc	<u> </u>
b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality)							
X	5) 100+ Highly suitable 4) 40-100 Very suitable 2) 20-40 Suitable 1) 10-20 Just suitable 0) <10* Unsuitable						Notes With the appropriate management this tree is very likely to attain a further 40 yrs and is likely to provide wildlife benefits as a veteran far in excess of this.
	] 0) 110	Orisultable					Sub Total 4
c) B	elative r	nublic visibility & su	itability for	TPO - Consider	realistic noter	ntial for future visibility	v with changed land use
X	5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable 2) Young, small trees, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable					Notes The tree is to the rear of the garden away from the main street and although it can clearly been seen if looking in that direction one needs to be looking.	
	] 1) 116	es not visible to the	e public, re(	gardiess of size i	riobably ulist	iiiabie	Sub Total 3
d) Other factors - Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify							
X	5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features					1	Notes Numerous sites within the tree with high habitat potential.
	] 1) 110	oo waa nono or are	above auc		ig iodiaioo		Sub Total 3
Part 2: Expediency assessment - Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify							
X	5) Imr 3) For 2) Per	nediate threat to treeseeable threat to received threat to treeseautionary only	ee tree				Notes Section 211 notification for removal from AGU trees.
							Sub Total 1
Part 3: Decision guide							
0 - Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO							
Total Score 14 Decision: TPO							
Con	mments						